



Uttarakhand Electricity Regulatory Commission

'Vidyut Niyamak Bhawan',
Near ISBT, PO- Majra, Dehradun-248171

PH. 0135-2641115 FAX- 2641314 Website www.uerc.gov.in E-mail- secy.uerc@gov.in

No. UERC/6/TF-357/2016-17/2017/1697

Date: 06-Feb., 2017

To,

Managing Director,
Power Transmission Corporation of Uttarakhand Ltd.,
Vidyut Bhawan, Near I.S.B.T. Crossing,
Saharanpur Road, Majra,
Dehradun-248002

Sub.: Power Transmission Corporation of Uttarakhand Limited's Petition for True up for FY 2015-16, Annual Performance Review for FY 2016-17 and Revised Aggregate Revenue Requirement FY 2017-18.

Sir,

This is with reference to the meeting held on 04.02.2017 at 12.00 Hrs. at the Commission's office on the above-mentioned subject. In this regard, the minutes of the said meeting are enclosed as Annexure-A for information and necessary action at your end. You are required to submit your reply in seven copies alongwith the soft copy of the same accompanied with an affidavit latest by 09.02.2017.

Encl. as above

Yours sincerely,


(Neeraj Sati)
Secretary

Minutes of Meeting held on February 04, 2017 in the matter of PTCUL's Petition for approval of True up for FY 2015-16, APR for FY 2016-17 and revised ARR for FY 2017-18

Present:

UERC

1. Shri Deepak Pandey, Director (Finance), UERC
2. Shri K K Gupta, Joint Director (Finance/Tariff), UERC
3. Shri Gaurav Sabharwal, Assistant Director (Finance/Tariff), UERC

PTCUL

1. Shri Kamal Kant, Chief Engineer (C&R), PTCUL.
2. Shri S.P. Arya, Superintending Engineer (C&R), PTCUL.
3. Shri Manoj Kumar, Executive Engineer (C&R), PTCUL.
4. Smt. Hemlata Tiwari Assistant Engineer, (C&R), PTCUL
5. Shri Girdhari Lal, Sr. Account Officer, PTCUL
6. Shri Tarun Singhal, Account Officer, PTCUL
7. Shri Naveen Kumar, Assistant Accounts Officer, PTCUL.

The queries raised by the staff of the Commission and the replies submitted by PTCUL were discussed in detail and the salient features of the discussions are as follows:

1. Latest physical and financial progress of the ongoing projects proposed to be capitalized in FY 2016-17 and FY 2017-18. PTCUL agreed to provide the same.
2. With regard to first time capitalization claimed by PTCUL for FY 2015-16 for LILO of 132 kV Rishikesh Srinagar Line at Srinagar II S/s under REC II Scheme, PTCUL was asked for the reasons for claiming first time capitalization when capitalisation of Rs. 0.96 Crore had already been allowed in FY 2011-12 and the EI Certificate submitted is dated November, 2011. In this regard, PTCUL agreed to submit justification for claiming the said project under first time capitalisation.
3. With regard to LILO of 132 kV Almora-Pithoragarh Line for 220 kV S/s Pithoragarh (PGCIL) under REC II Scheme, PTCUL has claimed the additional capitalization of Rs. 2.79 Crore for FY 2015-16 (including the disallowed capitalisation in FY 2014-15) against capitalization of Rs. 0.40 as per books of accounts of FY 2015-16. In this regard, PTCUL agreed to provide the details of capitalization of Rs. 2.79 Crores.

Further, considering Rs. 2.79 Crores as additional capitalization, there will be cost overrun. PTCUL was asked to submit the justification alongwith supporting documents for cost overrun. In

this regard, PTCUL submitted that the supporting documents have already been submitted at the time of filing review Petition.

4. PTCUL was asked to clarify whether 132 kV S/s Haridwar Road, Dehradun has been put under commercial operation and whether the associated Lines are completed. In reply, PTCUL submitted that the sub-station has been put to use and charged with 132 kV Majra-Rishikesh LILO line.
5. With regard to Procurement, erection & commissioning of 01 No. 132/33 kV 40 MVA transformer for increasing capacity of 132 kV S/s Bhupatwala, Haridwar and construction of 03 Nos. bay at 132 kV S/s Bhupatwala, Haridwar, PTCUL was asked to clarify whether cost of only one bay has been capitalized or the capitalization of complete work has been claimed. PTCUL clarified that it has claimed capitalization only for one bay and the same has been put to use in FY 2015-16.
6. With regard to Augmentation of transformer capacity at SIDCUL (Haridwar) from 2*100 MVA to 2*160 MVA, PTCUL was asked whether both the transformers have been capitalized at the cost of Rs. 9.13 Crores against the approved cost of Rs. 18.20 Crores. PTCUL submitted that they have inadvertently mentioned the project under Part Capitalization. However, both the transformers have been commissioned at the cost of Rs. 9.13 Crores against the approved cost of Rs. 18.20 Crores.
7. With regard to 132 kV S/s Chudiyala and 132 kV S/s LILO Line for Chudiyala, PTCUL was asked to clarify regarding claiming part capitalization. In reply PTCUL submitted that LILO line 132 kV S/s Chudiyala and 1 No. of transformer have been commissioned in FY 2015-16. The 2nd transformer is proposed to be commissioned in FY 2016-17.
8. PTCUL additionally informed that they have inadvertently mentioned "Increasing capacity of existing 132/33 kV Bhowali S/s" under PFC-09303006 Scheme. However, the same comes under PFC-09303005 Scheme. Further, the Petitioner agreed to provide the sanction letters of both the schemes.