



Uttarakhand Electricity Regulatory Commission

Vidyut Niyamak Bhawan',

Near ISBT, PO- Majra, Dehradun-248171

PH. 0135-2641115 FAX- 2641314 Website www.uerc.gov.in E-mail- secy.uerc@gov.in

No. UERC/6/TF-431/17-18/2017/ 1424

Date: 07 Dec, 2017

To,

Chief Engineer,
SLDC, PTCUL
132 kV Sub-station, H/Q Bldg.
Majra, Dehradun

Sub.: Petition for Annual Performance Review (APR) for FY 2017-18 and Revised Aggregate Revenue Requirement (ARR) for FY 2018-19

Sir,

This is with reference to your petition dated 29.11.2017 on the above-mentioned subject. In this regard, certain deficiencies/shortcomings have been observed which are enclosed as Annexure-A. You are required to submit the point-wise replies duly quoting titles/sub-titles and S.No. identical with the deficiencies referred to in enclosed **Annexure-A**. You are required to submit your reply on the same latest by 15.12.2017 in seven copies alongwith the soft copy of the reply accompanied with an affidavit so that your application may be put up before the Commission for admission.

Further, certain additional deficiencies/shortcomings have been observed which are enclosed as **Annexure-B**. You are required to submit the point-wise replies duly quoting titles/sub-titles and S.No. identical with the deficiencies referred to in enclosed Annexure-B. You are required to submit your reply on the same latest by 15.12.2017 in seven copies alongwith the soft copy of the reply accompanied with an affidavit.

Encl. as above

Yours sincerely,

(Neeraj Sati)
Secretary

State Load Despatch Centre (SLDC) Petition for the Annual Performance Review (APR) for FY 2017-18 and Revised Aggregate Revenue Requirement for FY 2018-19

Preliminary information required for admission of Petition

1. In some of the Tables in the Petition such as Table 7, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 31 and 18, Unit (Rs Crore) is not mentioned. Hence, the Petitioner is required to confirm the unit of amount and submit revised Tables accordingly.
2. The petitioner has considered impact of 7th pay Commission in computation of O&M Expenses. In this regard, the petitioner is required to submit the basis and detailed computations for the same.
3. The Petitioner is required to submit the justification for claiming additional R&M expenses for FY 2017-18 and FY 2018-19 under the AMC Agreement over and above the R&M expenses approved by the Commission.
4. The Petitioner has submitted the impact of Gain/(Loss) on account of controllable items during FY 2016-17 as Rs. -1.85 Crore. In this regard, the Petitioner is required to submit the basis and detailed computations for the same.

State Load Despatch Centre (SLDC) Petition for the Annual Performance Review (APR) for FY 2017-18 and Revised Aggregate Revenue Requirement for FY 2018-19

Preliminary Data Gaps/Information Requirement for Analysis of the Petition

1. In Form 4.1, SLDC has submitted that the actual expenditure in 1st half of FY 2017-18 is Rs. 0.01 Crore and it has estimated to capitalize Rs. 32.26 Crore in 2nd half of the year. In this regard, SLDC is required to submit the justification for such uneven capitalization.
2. In Table 5 of the Petition, additional capitalization of Rs. 0.42 Crore has been claimed for FY 2016-17, however, as per MTB as on 31.03.2017, the same is Rs. 0.26 Crore whereas PTCUL has claimed additional capitalization of Rs. 0.14 Crore for SLDC in FY 2016-17 in true-up Petition. In this regard, the Petitioner is required to submit justification for such discrepancy and submit the details of additional capitalization duly reconciled with trial balance for FY 2016-17.
3. In Table 9 of the Petition, actual employee cost of Rs. 3.73 Crore has been claimed whereas the same works out to Rs. 3.35 Crore for FY 2016-17 as per Trial Balances submitted for SLDC and SCADA. In this regard, the Petitioner is required to submit reasons for discrepancy.
4. In Table 13 of the Petition, actual A&G expenses of Rs. 0.80 Crore have been claimed however the same works out to Rs. 0.78 Crore for FY 2016-17 as per Trial Balances submitted for SLDC and SCADA. In this regard, the Petitioner is required to submit reasons for discrepancy.
5. The Petitioner is required to submit head wise summary of account for FY 2016-17 and upto 30.09.2017 for SLDC, Dehradun.
6. The Petitioner is required to submit the plan demonstrating the preparedness of the Petitioner to incur the estimated Capital Expenditure during second half of FY 2017-18 and during FY 2018-19 along with its financing plan and details of the orders placed.
7. The Petitioner, in Table 8 of the Petition, submitted that the 17 employees have been transferred to PTCUL. In this regard, the Petitioner is required to submit the justification for same and its impact on employee expenses for FY 2017-18 and FY 2018-19. Further, the Petitioner has proposed to recruit 41 employees in FY 2017-18. In this regard, the Petitioner is required to submit the present progress status of recruitment of additional employees in

FY 2017-18.

8. The actual employee expenses in 1st half of FY 2017-18 were Rs. 2.03 Crore, whereas the employee expenses projected for entire FY 2017-18 is Rs. 5.11 Crore. In this regard, SLDC should justify the steep increase projected in employee expenses in 2nd half of FY 2017-18.
9. The Petitioner is required to submit the supporting documents for interest rate considered for various loans in Form 10.1 of the Petition.
10. With regard to AMC, the Petitioner is required to submit summary of year wise contract & year wise details of period/tenure of the AMC and amount of AMC duly reconciled with the claim of the Petitioner alongwith supporting documents.
11. The Petitioner is required to submit the utilization plan for LDC development in compliance to the direction issued by the Commission.