

Response to deficiencies/ shortcomings in MYT Petition for Control Period FY 2019-20 to FY 2021-22 for SLDC

Preliminary information required for admission of Petition

1. SLDC has submitted the excel formats along with its MYT Petition for the Control Period from FY 2019-20 to FY 2021-22. Some of the Forms are not duly filled as shown in the table below:

Form	Description	Shortcoming
6.1B	Break-up of Construction/Supply/Service Packages	Details not furnished
6.3	Element wise Break-up of Project/Asset/Element Cost	Details not furnished
6.4	Statement of Additional Capitalisation after COD	Details not furnished
6.5	Financing of Additional Capitalisation	Details not furnished
6.6	Incidental Expenditure during Construction	Details not furnished
6.7	Statement of De-capitalisation	Details not furnished
7	Draw Down Schedule for Calculation of IDC & Financing Charges	Details not furnished
9	Details of Financial Packages	Details not furnished
10.1	Statement of Outstanding Loans	Complete details not furnished in the specified format

In this regard, SLDC is required to submit the duly filled in excel formats. Further, in case of non-applicability of any Format, SLDC is required to clearly mention reasons in the same

Response

The Table below summarizes the various shortcomings noted by the Hon'ble Commission along with the SLDC's responses for the same:

Form	Description	SLDC's Response
6.1B	Break-up of Construction/Supply/Service Packages	Updated form 6.1B is being submitted to the Hon'ble Commission as Annexure I
6.3	Element wise Break-up of Project/Asset/Element Cost	Not applicable (Already submitted in form 9.5 of the PTCUL formats)
6.4	Statement of Additional Capitalisation after COD	Not applicable (as no Additional Capitalization has taken place)
6.5	Financing of Additional Capitalisation	Not applicable (as no Additional Capitalization has taken place)
6.6	Incidental Expenditure during Construction	IEDC for SLDC is NIL.
6.7	Statement of De-capitalisation	Updated formats with updated form 6.7 will be submitted to the Hon'ble Commission as Annexure II
7	Draw Down Schedule for Calculation of IDC & Financing Charges	Since the information as in Form 9 is not maintained as such, it would be difficult to furnish the same. Hence, the Petitioner humbly prays to the Hon'ble Commission to consider the Statement of Capital and Details of IDC as provided in Forms 6.1 and Form 9.5 of PTCUL (for the project in question) respectively.
9	Details of Financial Packages	The information required in the format is not maintained as such. Hence, the Petitioner humbly prays to the Hon'ble Commission to consider the information provided in the format
10.1	Statement of Outstanding Loans	The Form has been completed and will be submitted to the Hon'ble Commission as Annexure III

2. In Table 4 of the Petition, SLDC has submitted that 6 no. of employees were recruited in FY 2017-18. However, in Table 7 of PTCUL's MYT Petition wherein the true-up for PTCUL as a whole was sought, the total employee recruitment during FY 2017-18 is submitted as 5. SLDC is required to submit the justification for this discrepancy and reconcile the figures.

Response

It is submitted before the Commission that PTCUL in FY 2017-18 recruited 05 nos of employees in FY 2017-18 wherein 03 were of the Technician Grade-II (Electrical) category and 02 recruits were of the Office Assistant-III category and were recruited against the Deceased Quota.

It is also submitted that the recruitment of 06 nos of employees for SLDC, shown in the Table number 4 of the Petition, was not conducted by SLDC directly but the 6 employees were transferred to the SLDC from PTCUL. PTCUL and SLDC are not separate entities yet and thus PTCUL transfers employees to SLDC from its sanctioned strength for SLDC to meet its manpower requirement.