

Before

UTTARAKHAND ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

Approval of Capital Investments under Para 11 of the Transmission Licence [Licence No. 1 of 2003].

In the Matter of:

Power Transmission Corporation of Uttarakhand Limited. (PTCUL)

Vidyut Bhawan, Near ISBT Crossing, Saharanpur Road, Majra,

Dehradun.

...**Petitioner**

AND

In the Matter of:

Misc App. No. 07 of 2015 and Misc Application No. 10 to 13 of 2015 filed by Power Transmission Corporation of Uttarakhand Limited (PTCUL).

Coram

Shri Subhash Kumar Chairman

Shri C.S. Sharma Member

Date of Order: April 28, 2015

ORDER

The Petitioner, Power Transmission Corporation of Uttarakhand Limited (PTCUL) has submitted revised proposals for capital investment to the Commission for approval under Para 11 of Transmission Licence [Licence No. 1 of 2003].

2. The current Petitions of the Petitioner includes works pertaining to construction of 132 kV and 220 kV line works at various locations in the State for which the

investment approvals have already been accorded earlier by the Commission. The details of these approvals have been presented in the Table below:

Sl. No.	Name of the Project/Scheme	Date of approval by the Commission
1.	Construction of 132 kV S/C line on D/C tower on panther conductor from Pithoragarh to Lohaghat (length of line 50 KM)	19.12.2012
2.	Construction of 132 kV S/C line on D/C tower on Panther from Ranikhet-Bageshwar	23.10.2007
3.	132 KV Dhalipur-Purkul LILO line at Dehradun & 132 KV Kulhal-Majra LILO line at Dehradun	24.11.2011
4.	Construction of LILO of 220 KV Roorkee (PGCIL) Puhana Roshanabad Line at 220 kV S/s Pirankaliyar (Imlikhera) on Single Zebra Conductor	04.12.2012
5.	Construction of 220 kV D/C line on Twin Zebra conductor from Lakhwar to Dehradun and its LILO at Vyasi	20.02.2013

3. The Commission vide its letter dated 07.04.2015 directed MD, PTCUL to submit cost comparison of major items included in the old estimates vis-a vis those included in the new estimates alongwith detailed reasons for change in Bill of Quantity against each of the project.
4. In response to the aforesaid letter of the Commission, petitioner vide its letter dated 15.04.2015 has forwarded project wise reasons for change in the hard cost of the supplies and cost of erection of the same.
5. A hearing for admissibility of the aforesaid Petitions was held in Commission's Office on 21.04.2015. Since instant Petitions are similar in nature these are being clubbed and a single order is being passed.

6. **Commission's Views**

During the course of hearing, the Petitioner submitted before the Commission the status of each of the projects alongwith their expected completion schedules. It is observed that there has not been any major change in the scope of the works, a fresh approval for these works is not warranted. The Commission directs the Petitioner to avoid such abnormal delays in taking up works after obtaining

investment approval in future and that it should go ahead with the aforesaid works without any further delay. However, the Commission would reiterate the following conditions laid down in the earlier Orders while granting investment approvals for each of these projects then which reads as:

- “(i) After completion of the projects the Petitioners shall submit the completed cost and financing of the same.*
- (ii) The cost of servicing project cost shall be allowed in the Annual Revenue Requirement of the Petitioner after the assets are capitalized and subject to prudence check of cost incurred.”*

The Commission directs PTCUL to comply with the above conditions and take necessary action to expeditiously complete these works.

The Petition stands disposed off.

Ordered accordingly.

(C.S. Sharma)
Member

(Subhash Kumar)
Chairman