

# Uttarakhand Electricity Regulatory Commission

'Vidyut Niyamak Bhawan',

Near ISBT, PO- Majra, Dehradun-248171

PH. 0135-2641115 FAX- 2641314 Website www.uerc.gov.in E-mail- uttaranchalerc@rediffmail.com

No. UERC/6/TF-286/15-16/2015/1373

Date: 4-Dec., 2015

To,

Managing Director,  
Power Transmission Corporation of Uttarakhand Ltd.,  
Vidyut Bhawan, Near I.S.B.T. Crossing,  
Saharanpur Road, Majra,  
Dehradun-248002


**Sub.: Filing of Petition for Multi Year Tariff for the Control Period FY 2016-17 to FY 2018-19.**

Sir,

This is with reference to your petition dated 30.11.2015 on the above-mentioned subject. In this regard, certain deficiencies/shortcomings have been observed which are enclosed as Annexure-A. You are required to submit the point-wise replies duly quoting titles/sub-titles and S.No. identical with the deficiencies referred to in enclosed Annexure-A. You are required to submit your reply on the same latest by 16.12.2015 in seven copies alongwith the soft copy of the reply accompanied with an affidavit so that your application may be put up before the Commission for admission.

**Encl. as above**

Yours sincerely,

  
(Neeraj Sati)  
Secretary  
2015

**Power Transmission Corporation of Uttarakhand Ltd. (PTCUL) MYT Petition for the Control Period from FY 2016-17 to FY 2018-19 in accordance with UERC (Terms and Conditions for Determination of Multi Year Tariff) Regulations, 2015**

**Preliminary information required for admission of Petition**

**A. Form related**

1. PTCUL has submitted the excel formats along with its MYT Petition for the Control Period from FY 2016-17 to FY 2018-19. Some of the Forms are not duly filled as shown in the table below:

<b>Form</b>	<b>Description</b>
F-8.1, 8.2 & 8.3	Total mentioned at each year of FY 2016-17 to FY 2018-19 is punched value. It should be computed in accordance with regulations.
F-9.1	The asset class wise details of asset addition has not been submitted for FY 2015-16 to FY 2018-19
F-9.3	The asset class wise details have not been submitted for FY 2014-15
F-9.4	The asset class wise details have not been submitted
F-9.5	Details to be furnished for projects capitalised in FY 2014-15
F-9.7	To be submitted duly filling in all the information
F-9.8	To be submitted duly filling in all the information
F-9.9	To be submitted duly filling in all the information
F-10.1	Depreciation to be computed considering the asset class wise GFA
F-10.2	To be submitted duly filling in all the information
F-11.1	To be submitted duly filling in all the information
F-11.3	To be submitted duly filling in all the information
F-11.4	To be submitted duly filling in all the information
F-12	To be submitted duly filling in all the information

In this regard, PTCUL is required to submit the duly filled in excel formats. Further, in case of non-applicability of any Format PTCUL is required to clearly mention reasons in the same.

2. Some of the fields in the excel format submitted by PTCUL have links with external excel file which are not submitted by PTCUL alongwith the MYT petition. PTCUL is required to submit the requisite information.

**B. True up for FY 2014-15**

3. PTCUL has claimed capitalisation of Rs. 91.27 Crore in FY 2014-15. In this regard, PTCUL is required to submit the project wise capitalisation within each scheme.
4. The actual interest expenses for FY 2014-15 as per the audited accounts are Rs. 54.22 Crore whereas the same has been submitted as Rs. 61.25 Crore in Form 15.2. Further, the actual interest expenses

for FY 2014-15 have been submitted as Rs. 63.21 Crore in Form 16. PTCUL is required to reconcile the data and maintain consistency at all places.

5. PTCUL is required to submit Income Tax Acknowledgment (ITR V) for FY 2014-15.

### C. MYT for FY 2016-17 to FY 2018-19

6. PTCUL has submitted the computations of proposed O&M expenses for FY 2016-17 to FY 2018-19. PTCUL is also required to submit a detailed write up on the proposed O&M expenses for FY 2016-17 to FY 2018-19.
7. In Table 23 of the Petition, the opening loan balance for FY 2016-17 has been submitted as ₹ 482.20 Crore while in Table 14, the closing loan balance for FY 2015-16 has been submitted as ₹ 517.47 Crore. PTCUL is required to reconcile the data and maintain consistency at all places.
8. ARR claimed by the SLDC for the ensuing years does not reconcile with the amount deducted by the PTCUL while claiming the ARR for the same period. Detail of the ARR claimed by the SLDC and SLDC charges deducted by the PTCUL for ARR computation is as follows:

Particulars	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19
AAR claimed by SLDC	9.59	13.94	21.48
SLDC charged adjusted by PTCUL	9.57	13.93	21.64

Petitioner is required to reconcile the data and maintain consistency at all places.

9. Figures given under the Table-12 for revised proposed O&M expenses do not reconcile with the Form-1, Form 8. Detail of the same is as follows:

(₹ in Crore)

Particular	Table-12	Form 8
Employee cost	68.08	61.01
R&M	35.50	25.43
A&G	13.57	16.94
<b>Total</b>	<b>117.15</b>	<b>103.38</b>

Petitioner is required to reconcile the Table 12 & Form-8 and submit revised Form, if required.

10. In Form 9.B "Statement of De-capitalisation", Petitioner has not submitted any detail of de-capitalisation, whereas as per Form 13, there is a deletion of amounting to ₹ 7.33 Crore in the previous year. Petitioner is required to submit the reasons for the same and submit the revised Form 9.B. Further, Petitioner is required to submit the date of capitalisation, funding pattern of capitalisation, cumulative depreciation charged till date of de-capitalisation along with the gross value of the asset deducted in Form-13.
11. Revised amount deducted on account of SLDC charges for the FY 2015-16 in the petition is ₹ 8.42 Crore whereas in the SLDC petition, SLDC has claimed revised Net ARR of ₹ 8.44 Crore for FY 2015-16. Petitioner is required to submit the reasons for the deviations.

12. PTCUL is required to submit Supporting document in respect of SBI base rate considered for the computation of interest on working capital.