



# Uttarakhand Electricity Regulatory Commission

'Vidyut Niyamak Bhawan',

Near ISBT, PO- Majra, Dehradun-248171

PH. 0135-2641115 FAX- 2641314 Website www.uerc.gov.in E-mail- uttaranchalerc@rediffmail.com

No. UERC/6/TF-288/15-16/2015/1371

Date: 9-Dec., 2015

To,

Superintending Engineer,  
(System Control)  
SLDC, PTCUL  
132 kV Sub-station, H/Q Bldg.  
Majra, Dehradun

**Sub.: Filing of Petition for Multi Year Tariff for the Control Period FY 2016-17 to FY 2018-19.**

Sir,

This is with reference to your petition dated 30.11.2015 on the above-mentioned subject. In this regard, certain deficiencies/shortcomings have been observed which are enclosed as Annexure-A. You are required to submit the point-wise replies duly quoting titles/sub-titles and S.No. identical with the deficiencies referred to in enclosed Annexure-A. You are required to submit your reply on the same latest by 16.12.2015 in seven copies alongwith the soft copy of the reply accompanied with an affidavit so that your application may be put up before the Commission for admission.

Encl. as above

Yours sincerely,

(Neeraj Sati)  
Secretary  
०७८०

**State Load Despatch Centre (SLDC) MYT Petition for the Control Period from FY 2016-17 to FY 2018-19 in accordance with UERC (Terms and Conditions for Determination of Multi Year Tariff) Regulations, 2015**

**Preliminary information required for admission of Petition**

**A. Form related**

1. SLDC has submitted the excel formats along with its MYT Petition for the Control Period from FY 2016-17 to FY 2018-19. Some of the Forms are not duly filled as shown in the table below:

<b>Form</b>	<b>Description</b>
F-9	To be submitted duly filling in all the information
F-10.1	To be submitted duly filling in all the information
F-10.2	To be submitted duly filling in all the information

In this regard, SLDC is required to submit the duly filled in excel formats.

2. Some of the fields in the excel format submitted by SLDC have links with external excel file which are not submitted by SLDC alongwith the MYT petition. SLDC is required to submit the requisite information.

**B. MYT for FY 2016-17 to FY 2018-19**

3. SLDC, in Table 27 of the Petition, submitted the opening loan balance for FY 2016-17 as ₹ 6.99 Crore while the closing balance for FY 2015-16 has been submitted as ₹ 6.89 Crore in Table 12. SLDC is required to reconcile the data and maintain consistency at all places.
4. Petitioner is required to submit the remaining balance useful life of the system for each Financial Year.
5. As per F 4.2, accumulated depreciation at the beginning of the previous year is ₹ 12.33 Crore against the gross block of ₹ 12.33 Crore and vide F 4.2 submitted that the depreciation charged during the year is ₹ 1.15 Crore against the additional capital expenditure of ₹ 0.38 Crore.

Petitioner is directed to submit the justification for charging depreciation more than the gross block.

6. Petitioner is required to submit the detailed computation in respect of cumulative depreciation at the beginning of the previous year alongwith the gross block.

7. Petitioner is required to submit supporting document in respect of CPI rate and WPI rate for FY 2012-13, 2013-14 and FY 2014-15.
8. Whether entire un-discharged liability pertaining to Gross Fixed Assets as on 01.04.2015 has been discharged as on date, if not, Petitioner is required to submit the details of the un-discharged liability included in the gross block as on 01.04.2015 and additional capital expenditure thereafter?
9. SLDC is required to submit Supporting document in respect of SBI base rate considered for the computation of interest on working capital.
10. Nomenclature of the Table -4 is GFA is required to be resubmitted for FY 2013-14 and FY 2014-15 whereas Petitioner has submitted the GFA details for FY 2014-15 and FY 2015-16. SLDC is required to rectify the same.
11. Petitioner, vide table under Para 1.17, has submitted the head wise employees for FY 2014-15 and FY 2015-16 whereas in the Para 1.17 Petitioner has submitted that the employee details has been provided for FY 2013-14 and FY 2014-15. Petitioner is required to make necessary rectifications.
12. ARR claimed by the SLDC for the ensuing years does not reconcile with the amount deducted by the PTCUL while claiming the ARR for the same period. Details of the ARR claimed by the SLDC and SLDC charges deducted by the PTCUL for ARR computation is as follows:

<b>Particulars</b>	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>	<b>FY 2018-19</b>
AAR claimed by SLDC	9.59	13.94	21.48
SLDC charges adjusted by PTCUL	9.57	13.93	21.64

Petitioner is required to submit the reasons for variations and file revised forms, if required.

13. In Para 1.18, Petitioner has stated that expenses for FY 2014-15 has been estimated based on the HR plan and CPI inflation rate whereas in the table given under Para 1.18, Petitioner has claimed actual expenditure. Petitioner is required to make proper rectification.
14. Petitioner has claimed revised Net ARR of ₹ 8.44 Crore for FY 2015-16 whereas as per PTCUL petition, revised amount deducted on account of SLDC charges for the FY 2015-16 is ₹ 8.42 Crore. Petitioner is required to submit the reasons for the deviations.
15. In Business Plan, Petitioner has submitted that ₹ 29.84 Crores will be capitalized in the ensuing years, whereas as per tariff petition, Petitioner has submitted that ₹ 29.86 Crore will be capitalized. Petitioner is required to reconcile the numbers and maintain consistency at all places.